



## Statement of Basis

LA0124524; AI 151066; PER20090001

Page 2

**V. RECEIVING WATERS:**

The discharge is into an unnamed drainage ditch, thence into Indian Bayou in segment 060802 of the Vermilion - Teche Basin. This segment is not listed on the 303(d) list of impaired waterbodies.

The designated uses and degree of support for Segment 060802 of the Vermilion - Teche Basin are as indicated in the table below<sup>1/</sup>:

Degree of Support of Each Use						
Primary Contact Recreation	Secondary Contact Recreation	Propagation of Fish & Wildlife	Outstanding Natural Resource Water	Drinking Water Supply	Shell fish Propagation	Agriculture
Not Supported	Not Supported	Not Supported	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>1/</sup>The designated uses and degree of support for Segment 060802 of the Vermilion - Teche Basin are as indicated in LAC 33:IX.1123.C.3, Table (3) and the 2006 Water Quality Management Plan, Water Quality Inventory Integrated Report, Appendix A, respectively.

**VI. ENDANGERED SPECIES:**

The receiving waterbody, Subsegment 060802 of the Vermilion-Teche River Basin, is not listed in Section II.2 of the Implementation Strategy as requiring consultation with the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). This strategy was submitted with a letter dated November 24, 2008 from Rieck (FWS) to Nolan (LDEQ). Therefore, in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between the LDEQ and the FWS, no further informal (Section 7, Endangered Species Act) consultation is required. It was determined that the issuance of the LPDES permit is not likely to have an adverse effect on any endangered or candidate species or the critical habitat. The effluent limitations established in the permit ensure protection of aquatic life and maintenance of the receiving water as aquatic habitat.

**VII. HISTORIC SITES:**

The discharge will be from a proposed facility. LDEQ has consulted with the State Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO) in a letter dated February 26, 2009, to determine whether construction-related activities could potential affect sites or properties on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. SHPO's response letter, dated March 26, 2009, stated that the facility as proposed will have no potential effects

**VIII. PUBLIC NOTICE:**

Upon publication of the public notice, a public comment period shall begin on the date of publication and last for at least 30 days thereafter. During this period, any interested persons may submit written comments on the draft permit and may request a public hearing to clarify issues involved in the permit decision at this Office's address on the first page of the statement of basis. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing.

Public notice published in:

Local newspaper of general circulation

Office of Environmental Services Public Notice Mailing List

## Statement of Basis

LA0124524; AI 151066; PER20090001

Page 3

For additional information, contact:

Ms. Rachel Davis  
Water Permits Division  
Department of Environmental Quality  
Office of Environmental Services  
P. O. Box 4313  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4313

## IX. PROPOSED PERMIT LIMITS:

### Final Effluent Limits:

Subsegment 060802, is not listed on LDEQ's Final 2006 303(d) list as impaired. However, subsegment 060802 was previously listed as impaired for phosphorus, nitrogen, organic enrichment/lowDO, pathogen indicators, suspended solids/turbidity/siltation, and carbofuran, for which the below TMDL's have been developed. The Department of Environmental Quality reserves the right to impose more stringent discharge limitations and/or additional restrictions in the future to maintain the water quality integrity and the designated uses of the receiving water bodies based upon additional TMDLs and/or water quality studies. The DEQ also reserves the right to modify or revoke and reissue this permit based upon any changes to established TMDLs for this discharge, or to accommodate for pollutant trading provisions in approved TMDL watersheds as necessary to achieve compliance with water quality standards.

The following TMDLs have been established for subsegment 060802:

#### 1999 Review and Assessment of the 1987 Vermilion River Watershed TMDL for Dissolved Oxygen

This TMDL was revised in December 1999, and approved by EPA on April 5, 2001. This TMDL re-established that NPDES permits for individual point sources in the Vermilion Watershed should continue to be issued on the basis of flow rates as follows:

<u>FLOW RATE</u>	<u>PERMIT LIMITS</u>
greater than 25,000 gpd	May – Dec.: 10 mg/l CBOD <sub>5</sub> /5 mg/l NH <sub>3</sub> -N/5 mg/l DO
25,000 gpd or less	Jan.- April: 20 mg/l CBOD <sub>5</sub> /10 mg/l NH <sub>3</sub> -N/5 mg/l DO secondary limits year round

Therefore, this discharge will be permitted accordingly.

#### Vermilion River TMDL for Fecal Coliform

The *Vermilion River TMDL for Fecal Coliform* was finalized on April 5, 2001, addressing the presence of pathogen indicators in the watershed. As per this TMDL, "...there will be no change in the permit requirements based upon a wasteload allocation resulting from this TMDL." Therefore, Fecal Coliform effluent limitations will be permitted according to the current state policy.

#### TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL) For TSS, Turbidity, and Siltation for the 15 Subsegments in the Vermilion River Basin

The TMDL states, "Point sources do not represent a significant source of TSS as defined in this TMDL. Point sources discharge primarily organic TSS, which does not contribute to habitat impairment resulting from sedimentation. Because the point sources are minor contributors and discharges of organic suspended solids from point sources are already addressed by LDEQ through their permitting of point sources to maintain water quality standards for DO, the wasteload allocations for point source contributions were set to zero." Therefore, the facility will be permitted according to current state policies.

## Statement of Basis

LA0124524; AI 151066; PER20090001

Page 4

TMDL for the Pesticide Carbofuran in the Mermentau and Vermilion-Teche River Basins

The TMDL for the Pesticide Carbofuran in the Mermentau and Vermilion-Teche River Basins was finalized on March 21, 2002, and states no point sources are known to discharge Carbofuran; therefore, no allocation was given to point source discharges in the Vermilion-Teche River Basin.

**OUTFALL 001**

Final limits shall become effective on the effective date of the permit and expire on the expiration date of the permit.

Effluent Characteristic	Monthly Avg (lbs./day)	Monthly Avg	Daily Max	Basis
CBOD <sub>5</sub> (May- December) (January- April)		10 mg/l 20 mg/l	15 mg/l 30 mg/l	Based on the <u>1999 Review and Assessment of the 1987 Vermilion River Watershed TMDL for Dissolved Oxygen</u> for dischargers over 25,000 GPD.
TSS (May-December) (January- April)		15 mg/l 20 mg/l	23 mg/l 30 mg/l	Since there is no numeric water quality criterion for TSS, and in accordance with the current Water Quality Management Plan, the TSS effluent limitations shall be based on a case-by-case evaluation of the treatment technology being utilized at a facility. Therefore, a Technology Based Limit has been established through Best Professional Judgement for the type of treatment technology utilized at this facility.
Ammonia-Nitrogen (May- December) (January- April)		5 mg/l 10 mg/l	10 mg/l 20 mg/l	Based on the <u>1999 Review and Assessment of the 1987 Vermilion River Watershed TMDL for Dissolved Oxygen</u> for dischargers over 25,000 GPD.
Dissolved Oxygen*		5.0 mg/l	---	Based on the <u>1999 Review and Assessment of the 1987 Vermilion River Watershed TMDL</u> for dischargers over 25,000 GPD

## Statement of Basis

LA0124524; AI 151066; PER20090001

Page 5

\*\*This Dissolved Oxygen limit is the lowest allowable average of daily discharges over a calendar month. When monitoring is conducted, the Dissolved Oxygen shall be analyzed immediately, as per 40 CFR 136.3.

**Other Effluent Limitations:****1) Fecal Coliform**

The discharge from this facility is into a water body which has a designated use of Primary Contact Recreation. According to LAC 33:IX.1113.C.5., the fecal coliform standards for this water body are 200/100 ml and 400/100 ml. Therefore, the limits of 200/100 ml (Monthly Average) and 400/100 ml (Daily Maximum) are proposed as Fecal Coliform limits in the permit. These limits are being proposed through Best Professional Judgement in order to ensure that the water body standards are not exceeded, and due to the fact that existing facilities have demonstrated an ability to comply with these limitations using present available technology.

**2) pH**

The pH shall not be less than 6.0 standard units nor greater than 9.0 standard units at any time. (Limits as established through BPJ considering BCT for similar waste streams in accordance with LAC 33:IX.5905.C)

**3) Solids and Foam**

There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts in accordance with LAC 33:IX.1113.B.7.

**X. PREVIOUS PERMITS:**

There are no previous permits because this is a new facility.

**XI. ENFORCEMENT AND SURVEILLANCE ACTIONS:****A) Inspections**

A review of the files indicates that no inspections have been performed at this proposed facility.

**B) Compliance and/or Administrative Orders**

A review of the files indicates that no compliance orders have been issued against the proposed facility.

**C) DMR Review**

No discharge monitoring reports have been submitted because this is a new facility.

**XII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

The Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) reserves the right modify or revoke and reissue this permit based upon any changes to established TMDL's for this discharge, or to accommodate for pollutant trading provisions in approved TMDL watersheds as requested by the permittee and/or as necessary to achieve compliance with water quality standards. Therefore, prior to upgrading or expanding this facility, the permittee should contact the Department to determine the status of the work being done to establish future effluent limitations and additional permit conditions.

## Statement of Basis

LA0124524; AI 151066; PER20090001

Page 6

This permit may be modified, or alternatively, revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitations issued or approved under sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D); 304(b)(2); and 307(a)(2) of the Clean Water Act or more stringent discharge limitations and/or additional restrictions in the future to maintain the water quality integrity and the designated uses of the receiving water bodies based upon additional water quality studies and/or TMDL's, if the effluent standard, limitations, water quality studies or TMDL's so issued or approved

- a) Contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
- b) Controls any pollutant not limited in the permit; or
- c) Requires reassessment due to change in 303(d) status of waterbody; or
- d) Incorporates the results of any total maximum daily load allocation, which may be approved for the receiving water body.

Please be aware that the Department has the authority to reduce monitoring frequencies when a permittee demonstrates two or more consecutive years of permit compliance. Monitoring frequencies established in LPDES permits are based on a number of factors, including but not limited to, the size of the discharge, the type of wastewater being discharged, the specific operations at the facility, past compliance history, similar facilities and best professional judgment of the reviewer. We encourage and invite each permittee to institute positive measures to ensure continued compliance with the LPDES permit, thereby qualifying for reduced monitoring frequencies upon permit reissuance. If the Department can be of any assistance in this area, please do not hesitate to contact us. As a reminder, the Department will also consider an increase in monitoring frequency upon permit reissuance when the permittee demonstrates continued non-compliance.

At present, the **Monitoring Requirements, Sample Types, and Frequency of Sampling** as shown in the permit are standard for facilities of flows between 0.05 and 0.1 MGD.

Effluent CharacteristicsMonitoring Requirements

	<u>Measurement</u>	<u>Sample</u>
	<u>Frequency</u>	<u>Type</u>
Flow	1/week	Meausre
CBOD <sub>5</sub>	2/month	Grab
Total Suspended Solids	2/month	Grab
Ammonia-Nitrogen	2/month	Grab
Dissolved Oxygen	2/month	Grab
Fecal Coliform Bacteria	2/month	Grab
pH	2/month	Grab

**XIII. TENTATIVE DETERMINATION:**

On the basis of preliminary staff review, the Department of Environmental Quality has made a tentative determination to issue a new permit for the discharge described in this Statement of Basis.

**XIV. REFERENCES:**

Louisiana Water Quality Management Plan / Continuing Planning Process, Vol. 8, "Wasteload Allocations / Total Maximum Daily Loads and Effluent Limitations Policy," Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 2005.

Louisiana Water Quality Management Plan / Continuing Planning Process, Vol. 5, "Water Quality Inventory Section 305(b) Report," Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 1998.

Statement of Basis

LA0124524; AI 151066; PER20090001

Page 7

Louisiana Administrative Code, Title 33 - Environmental Quality, Part IX - Water Quality Regulations, Chapter 11 - "Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards," Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 2004.

Louisiana Administrative Code, Title 33 - Environmental Quality, Part IX - Water Quality Regulations, Subpart 2 - "The LPDES Program," Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, 2004.

Low-Flow Characteristics of Louisiana Streams, Water Resources Technical Report No. 22, United States Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 1980.

Index to Surface Water Data in Louisiana, Water Resources Basic Records Report No. 17, United States Department of the Interior, Geological Survey, 1989.

LPDES Permit Application to Discharge Wastewater, Water & Wastewater Utilities, Inc, Country Lakes Subdivision, February 19, 2009.